

# Quantized version of the theory of affine body

prof. Jan Jerzy Sławianowski

XVI-th International Conference on  
*Geometry, Integrability and Quantization*

*Varna, Bulgaria, June 6-11, 2014*



[Home Page](#)

[Title Page](#)

[Contents](#)



[Page 1 of 28](#)

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)

$Q$  — configuration space,  $n$ -dimensional manifold.

Schrödinger theory: pure states are complex scalar densities  $\Psi$  of weight 1/2 (Mackey). Scalar product:

$$(\Psi, \Phi) = \int \bar{\Psi}\Phi = \int \bar{\Psi}(q)\Phi(q)dq^1 \dots dq^n. \quad (1)$$

But usually  $Q$  is a (pseudo-)Riemann space  $(Q, \Gamma)$ .

Classical kinetic energy:

$$T = \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_{\mu\nu} \frac{dq^\mu}{dt} \frac{dq^\nu}{dt}. \quad (2)$$

The metric  $\Gamma$  gives rise to the natural volume measure:

$$d\mu_\Gamma(q) = \sqrt{|\det[\Gamma_{\mu\nu}]|}dq^1 \dots dq^n. \quad (3)$$

Wave densities  $\Psi$  as scalar functions  $\psi$ :

$$\Psi(q) = \psi(q)\sqrt[4]{|\det\Gamma_{\mu\nu}|}. \quad (4)$$

Scalar product:

$$(\Psi|\Phi) = \langle \psi|\varphi \rangle = \int \bar{\psi}(q)\varphi(q)d\mu_\Gamma(q). \quad (5)$$

Kinetic energy, classical and quantum:

$$\mathcal{T} = \frac{1}{2}\Gamma^{\mu\nu}p_\mu p_\nu, \quad \Gamma^{\mu\alpha}\Gamma_{\alpha\nu} = \delta^\mu_\nu, \quad p_\mu = \frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{q}^\mu} = \Gamma_{\mu\nu} \frac{dq^\nu}{dt}, \quad (6)$$

$$\mathbf{T} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2}\Delta(\Gamma), \quad (7)$$



[Home Page](#)

[Title Page](#)

[Contents](#)



[Page 2 of 28](#)

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)

where  $\Delta(\Gamma)$  — Laplace-Beltrami:

$$\Delta(\Gamma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\Gamma|}} \sum_{\mu, \nu} \partial_\mu \sqrt{|\Gamma|} \Gamma^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu = \Gamma^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu, \quad (8)$$

$$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{T} + \mathbf{V}, \quad (\mathbf{V}\psi)(q) = V(q)\psi(q). \quad (9)$$

If  $Q$  is multiply-connected, we can admit wave functions on  $\bar{Q}$ , the covering manifold of  $Q$ . But  $\bar{\psi}\psi$  should be projectable to  $Q$ . This is the case with rigid body, affinely-rigid body and many other systems.

We assume  $Q$  to be a Lie group  $G$ . It is endowed with the Haar measure  $\mu$ . But usually  $\mu_\Gamma = \mu$ . Namely, in practical problems  $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}$  is left- or right-invariant. But then  $\mu_\Gamma$  is so as well. But the invariant measure on  $G$  is unique up to normalization constant, so we can admit  $\mu_\Gamma = \mu$ .

Let  $E_\mu, E^\mu$  be elements of mutually dual bases in  $G', G'^*$  (Lie algebra and co-algebra of  $G$ ).  $q^\mu$  — first kind canonical coordinates on  $G$ :

$$g(q) = \exp(q^\mu E_\mu). \quad (10)$$

Lie-algebraic velocities:

$$\Omega = \frac{dg}{dt} g^{-1} = \Omega^\mu E_\mu = \left( \Omega^\mu{}_\nu(q) \frac{dg^\nu}{dt} \right) E_\mu, \quad (11)$$

$$\hat{\Omega} = g^{-1} \frac{dg}{dt} = \hat{\Omega}^\mu E_\mu = \left( \hat{\Omega}^\mu{}_\nu(q) \frac{dg^\nu}{dt} \right) E_\mu = g^{-1} \Omega g. \quad (12)$$

Left- and right-invariant kinetic energies:

$$T_{\text{left}} = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_{\mu\nu}(q) \hat{\Omega}^\mu \hat{\Omega}^\nu, \quad T_{\text{right}} = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}(q) \Omega^\mu \Omega^\nu. \quad (13)$$

$[\mathcal{L}_{\mu\nu}(q)], [\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}(q)]$  — constant, non-singular and symmetric.



[Home Page](#)

[Title Page](#)

[Contents](#)



[Page 3 of 28](#)

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)

Legendre transformation, non-holonomically:

$$\widehat{\Sigma}_\mu = \frac{\partial T_{\text{left}}}{\partial \widehat{\Omega}^\mu} = \mathcal{L}_{\mu\nu} \widehat{\Omega}^\nu, \quad \Sigma_\mu = \frac{\partial T_{\text{right}}}{\partial \Omega^\mu} = \mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu} \Omega^\nu, \quad (14)$$

Hamiltonian generators, i.e., momentum mappings of right and left transformations. Hamiltonians:

$$H_{\text{left}} = \mathcal{T}_{\text{left}} + \mathcal{V}(q) = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}^{\mu\nu} \widehat{\Sigma}_\mu \widehat{\Sigma}_\nu + \mathcal{V}(q), \quad (15)$$

$$H_{\text{right}} = \mathcal{T}_{\text{right}} + \mathcal{V}(q) = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu} \Sigma_\mu \Sigma_\nu + \mathcal{V}(q). \quad (16)$$

$[\mathcal{L}^{\mu\nu}(q)]$ ,  $[\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu}(q)]$  denoting inverses of  $[\mathcal{L}_{\mu\nu}(q)]$ ,  $[\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}(q)]$ .

When the structure constants are  $C^\lambda_{\mu\nu}$ ,

$$[E_\mu, E_\nu] = E_\lambda C^\lambda_{\mu\nu}, \quad (17)$$

then the Poisson brackets are:

$$\{\Sigma_\mu, \Sigma_\nu\} = \Sigma_\lambda C^\lambda_{\mu\nu}, \quad \{\widehat{\Sigma}_\mu, \widehat{\Sigma}_\nu\} = -\widehat{\Sigma}_\lambda C^\lambda_{\mu\nu}, \quad \{\Sigma_\mu, \widehat{\Sigma}_\nu\} = 0. \quad (18)$$

Left and right regular translations in  $L^2(G, \mu)$ :

$$(\mathbf{L}(k)\Psi)(g) = \Psi(kg), \quad (\mathbf{R}(k)\Psi)(g) = \Psi(gk). \quad (19)$$

They are unitary:

$$\langle \mathbf{L}(k)\Psi | \mathbf{L}(k)\varphi \rangle = \langle \Psi | \varphi \rangle = \langle \mathbf{R}(k)\Psi | \mathbf{R}(k)\varphi \rangle \quad (20)$$

and represent  $G$ :

$$\mathbf{R}(kl) = \mathbf{R}(k)\mathbf{R}(l), \quad \mathbf{L}(kl) = \mathbf{L}(l)\mathbf{L}(k). \quad (21)$$



[Home Page](#)

[Title Page](#)

[Contents](#)



[Page 4 of 28](#)

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)

Generators:

$$(\mathbf{L}_\mu f)(g) = \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial q^\mu} f(k(q)g) \right|_{q=0}, \quad (22)$$

$$(\mathbf{R}_\mu f)(g) = \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial q^\mu} f(gk(q)) \right|_{q=0}. \quad (23)$$

Commutation rules:

$$[\mathbf{L}_\mu, \mathbf{L}_\nu] = -\mathbf{L}_\lambda C^\lambda{}_{\mu\nu}, \quad [\mathbf{R}_\mu, \mathbf{R}_\nu] = \mathbf{R}_\lambda C^\lambda{}_{\mu\nu}, \quad [\mathbf{L}_\mu, \mathbf{R}_\nu] = 0. \quad (24)$$

$\mathbf{L}_\mu, \mathbf{R}_\mu$  — anti-self-adjoint:

$$\langle \mathbf{L}_\mu \Psi | \varphi \rangle = -\langle \Psi | \mathbf{L}_\mu \varphi \rangle, \quad \langle \mathbf{R}_\mu \Psi | \varphi \rangle = -\langle \Psi | \mathbf{R}_\mu \varphi \rangle. \quad (25)$$

Classical Poisson brackets in terms of  $\mathbf{L}_\mu, \mathbf{R}_\mu$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \{A, B\} &= \Sigma_\lambda C^\lambda{}_{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial A}{\partial \Sigma_\mu} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \Sigma_\nu} - \frac{\partial A}{\partial \Sigma_\mu} \mathbf{L}_\mu B + \frac{\partial B}{\partial \Sigma_\mu} \mathbf{L}_\mu A \\ &= -\widehat{\Sigma}_\lambda C^\lambda{}_{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial A}{\partial \widehat{\Sigma}_\mu} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \widehat{\Sigma}_\nu} - \frac{\partial A}{\partial \widehat{\Sigma}_\mu} \mathbf{R}_\mu B + \frac{\partial B}{\partial \widehat{\Sigma}_\mu} \mathbf{R}_\mu A. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

In particular, when  $f$  depends only on  $q^n$ , we have:

$$\{\Sigma_\mu, f\} = -\mathbf{L}_\mu f, \quad \{\widehat{\Sigma}_\mu, f\} = -\mathbf{R}_\mu f. \quad (27)$$

Exponential expression for  $\mathbf{L}(k), \mathbf{R}(k)$ :

$$F(k(q)g) = \exp(q^\mu \mathbf{L}_\mu) F, \quad F(gk(q)) = \exp(q^\mu \mathbf{R}_\mu) F. \quad (28)$$



Home Page

Title Page

Contents



Page 5 of 28

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

True for the restricted class of smooth  $F$ -s, but the left-hand sides are generally well defined. One can show that

$$\mathbf{L}_\mu = \Sigma^\alpha{}_\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial q^\alpha}, \quad \mathbf{R}_\mu = \widehat{\Sigma}^\alpha{}_\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial q^\alpha}, \quad (29)$$

where

$$\Sigma^\alpha{}_\mu \Omega^\mu{}_\beta = \delta^\alpha{}_\beta, \quad \widehat{\Sigma}^\alpha{}_\mu \widehat{\Omega}^\mu{}_\beta = \delta^\alpha{}_\beta. \quad (30)$$

Quantum operators of physical  $\Sigma, \widehat{\Sigma}$ -quantities:

$$\Sigma_\mu := \frac{\hbar}{i} \mathbf{L}_\mu = \frac{\hbar}{i} \Sigma^\alpha{}_\mu(q) \frac{\partial}{\partial q^\alpha}, \quad \widehat{\Sigma}_\mu := \frac{\hbar}{i} \mathbf{R}_\mu = \frac{\hbar}{i} \widehat{\Sigma}^\alpha{}_\mu(q) \frac{\partial}{\partial q^\alpha}. \quad (31)$$

Obviously, they are self-adjoint. The quantum Poisson bracket

$${}_Q \{ \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G} \} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} [\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G}] = \frac{1}{i\hbar} (\mathbf{F}\mathbf{G} - \mathbf{G}\mathbf{F}), \quad (32)$$

for  $\Sigma_\mu, \widehat{\Sigma}_\mu$  has the same algebraic structure as classical:

$${}_Q \{ \Sigma_\mu, \Sigma_\nu \} = \Sigma_\lambda C^\lambda{}_{\mu\nu}, \quad {}_Q \{ \widehat{\Sigma}_\mu, \widehat{\Sigma}_\nu \} = -\Sigma_\lambda C^\lambda{}_{\mu\nu}, \quad {}_Q \{ \Sigma_\mu, \widehat{\Sigma}_\nu \} = 0. \quad (33)$$

Quantum operators of kinetic energy are given by:

$$\mathbf{T}_{\text{left}} = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}^{\mu\nu} \widehat{\Sigma}_\mu \widehat{\Sigma}_\nu = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \mathcal{L}^{\mu\nu} \mathbf{R}_\mu \mathbf{R}_\nu, \quad (34)$$

$$\mathbf{T}_{\text{right}} = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu} \Sigma_\mu \Sigma_\nu = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu} \mathbf{L}_\mu \mathbf{L}_\nu. \quad (35)$$



Home Page

Title Page

Contents



Page 6 of 28

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

If  $G$  is semi-simple, then these models coincide when the Killing tensor

$$\gamma_{\mu\nu} = C^{\alpha}_{\beta\mu} C^{\beta}_{\alpha\nu} \quad (36)$$

is used as the metric tensor at the identity of  $G$ . Then:

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}(q) = \gamma_{\alpha\beta} \Sigma^{\alpha}_{\mu}(q) \Sigma^{\beta}_{\nu}(q) = \gamma_{\alpha\beta} \widehat{\Sigma}^{\alpha}_{\mu}(q) \widehat{\Sigma}^{\beta}_{\nu}(q). \quad (37)$$

More precisely: this is true when

$$G = G_1 \times \dots \times G_p = \times_{k=1}^N G_k, \quad (38)$$

where  $\Gamma(k)$  are simple and

$$\Gamma = \sum_{k=1}^N c_k \pi_k^* \Gamma(k) = c_1 \pi_1^* \Gamma(1) + \dots + c_N \pi_N^* \Gamma(N), \quad (39)$$

$\pi_k = G \rightarrow G_k$  is the natural projection,  $\Gamma(k)$  is the Killing metric on  $G_k$  and  $c_k$  are constants.

Let us now go to the general case of the quantized affinely-rigid body. In the classical part it was stated that the configuration space is  $\text{LI}(U, V) \times M$ , where  $M$  is the physical space,  $V, U$  are translation spaces of the physical and material spaces  $M, N$ , and  $\text{LI}(U, V)$  is the manifold of linear isomorphisms from  $U$  onto  $V$ . The induced coordinates in the configuration space are  $(x^i, \varphi^i_K)$ . Any choice of coordinates identifies  $Q \simeq \text{GAffI}(N, M)$  with  $\text{LI}(U, V) \times M$ , and consequently, with  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^n$ . The most natural measures on  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$  seem to be  $a, l$ , where

$$da(\varphi, x) = dx^1 \dots dx^n d\varphi^1_1 \dots d\varphi^n_n = dl(\varphi) dx^1 \dots dx^n, \quad (40)$$

$$dl(\varphi) = d\varphi^1_1 \dots d\varphi^n_n. \quad (41)$$



Home Page

Title Page

Contents



Page 7 of 28

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

They are not Haar measures. The latter ones are given by  $\alpha$ ,  $\lambda$ , where:

$$d\alpha(\varphi, x) = (\det \varphi)^{-n-1} da(\varphi, x), \quad (42)$$

$$d\lambda(\varphi) = (\det \varphi)^{-n} dl(\varphi). \quad (43)$$

In practical calculations it is convenient to express them in terms of the two-polar decomposition:

$$d\lambda(\varphi) = d\lambda(L, q, R) = \prod_{i \neq j} |\operatorname{sh}(q^i - q^j)| d\nu(L) d\nu(R) dq^1 \dots dq^n, \quad (44)$$

where  $\nu$  is the Haar measure on  $\mathrm{SO}(n, \mathbb{R})$ , or equivalently — on the manifold of orthonormal frames. Similarly, one can show that

$$dl(\varphi) = dl(L, Q, R) = \prod_{i \neq j} |(Q^i + Q^j)(Q^i - Q^j)| d\nu(L) d\nu(R) dQ^1 \dots dQ^n. \quad (45)$$

Shortened notation:

$$P_\lambda = \prod_{i \neq j} |\operatorname{sh}(q^i - q^j)|, \quad P_l = \prod_{i \neq j} |(Q^i + Q^j)(Q^i - Q^j)|. \quad (46)$$

Then:

$$d\lambda(\varphi) = P_\lambda d\nu(L) d\nu(R) dq^1 \dots dq^n, \quad (47)$$

$$dl(\varphi) = P_l d\nu(L) d\nu(R) dQ^1 \dots dQ^n. \quad (48)$$

Switching out the dilatational variable, i.e., reducing to the subgroup  $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ :

$$d\lambda_{\mathrm{SL}}(\varphi) = P_\lambda d\nu(L) d\nu(R) \delta(q^1 + \dots + q^n) dq^1 \dots dq^n. \quad (49)$$



Home Page

Title Page

Contents

◀◀ ▶▶

◀ ▶

Page 8 of 28

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



The indices  $\mu$  in  $\Sigma_\mu, \widehat{\Sigma}_\mu$  become now two-indices like  $(^a_b), (^A_B)$ . Therefore, the laboratory and co-moving representations of affine spin become now operators:

$$\Sigma^a_b := \frac{\hbar}{i} \mathbf{L}^a_b = \frac{\hbar}{i} \varphi^a_K \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi^b_K}, \quad \widehat{\Sigma}^A_B := \frac{\hbar}{i} \mathbf{R}^A_B = \frac{\hbar}{i} \varphi^m_B \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi^m_A}. \quad (50)$$

Similarly, the spin and vorticity operators are given by:

$$\mathbf{S}^a_b = \Sigma^a_b - g^{ac} g_{bd} \Sigma^d_c, \quad \mathbf{V}^A_B = \widehat{\Sigma}^A_B - \eta^{AC} \eta_{BD} \widehat{\Sigma}^D_C. \quad (51)$$

When using the Lebesgue measure  $l$ , we must replace  $\Sigma_\mu, \widehat{\Sigma}_\mu$  by:

$$\Sigma(l)^a_b = \Sigma^a_b + \frac{\hbar n}{2i} \delta^a_b, \quad \widehat{\Sigma}(l)^A_B = \widehat{\Sigma}^A_B + \frac{\hbar n}{2i} \delta^A_B. \quad (52)$$

Similarly, for the linear momentum in spatial and material representations we have:

$$\mathbf{P}_a = \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a}, \quad \widehat{\mathbf{P}}_A = \frac{\hbar}{i} \varphi^a_A \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a}. \quad (53)$$

They are interrelated through  $\varphi$ :

$$\widehat{\mathbf{P}}_A = \varphi^a_A \mathbf{P}_a, \quad \mathbf{P}_a = \varphi^{-1A}_a \widehat{\mathbf{P}}_A. \quad (54)$$

One can also introduce the translational and total affine momentum of the body with respect to some fixed spatial origin  $\mathfrak{D} \in M$ ,

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}[\mathfrak{D}]^i_j = x^i \mathbf{P}_j, \quad \mathbf{J}[\mathfrak{D}]^i_j = \mathbf{\Lambda}[\mathfrak{D}]^i_j + \Sigma^i_j. \quad (55)$$

They generate  $\text{GAff}(M)$  acting, e.g., through:

$$(x^a, \varphi^a_A) \mapsto (L^a_b x^b, L^a_b \varphi^b_A). \quad (56)$$


[Home Page](#)
[Title Page](#)
[Contents](#)

[Page 9 of 28](#)
[Go Back](#)
[Full Screen](#)
[Close](#)
[Quit](#)

Let us introduce the operator of canonical momentum conjugate to the “centre of mass” of logarithmic deformation invariants:

$$\mathbf{p} = \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q} = \Sigma^a{}_b = \widehat{\Sigma}^A{}_B. \quad (57)$$

The deviatoric, i.e., shear components of  $\Sigma$ ,  $\widehat{\Sigma}$  are given by:

$$\sigma^a{}_b := \Sigma^a{}_b - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{p} \delta^a{}_b, \quad \widehat{\sigma}^A{}_B := \widehat{\Sigma}^A{}_B - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{p} \delta^A{}_B. \quad (58)$$

Just like in classical theory, spin and vorticity are Hamiltonian generators of the left and right rotations of  $\varphi$ . For any functions  $F$ ,  $H$  of the  $L, R$ -arguments we have:

$$F(W(\mu)L) = \left( \exp \left( \frac{i}{2\hbar} \mu^i{}_j \mathbf{S}^j{}_i \right) F \right) (L), \quad (59)$$

$$H(T(\nu)R) = \left( \exp \left( -\frac{i}{2\hbar} \nu^A{}_B \mathbf{V}^B{}_A \right) H \right) (R). \quad (60)$$

where coefficients  $\mu^i{}_j$ ,  $\nu^A{}_B$  are  $g, \eta$ -skew-symmetric:

$$\mu^i{}_j = -g^{ik} g_{jl} \mu^l{}_k, \quad \nu^A{}_B = -\eta^{AC} \eta_{BD} \nu^D{}_C. \quad (61)$$

$W(\mu)$ ,  $\pi(\nu)$  are finite transformations from  $\text{SO}(V, g)$ ,  $\text{SO}(U, \eta)$ .

Other factors of the two-polar decomposition are unaffected. From the point of view of “rigid bodies”  $L$ ,  $R$  are “spatially” rotated respectively in  $V$  and  $U$ . The corresponding “co-moving” components

$$\varrho^a{}_b = L^a{}_i L^j{}_b \mathbf{S}^i{}_j, \quad \tau^a{}_b = -R^B{}_b R^a{}_A \mathbf{V}^A{}_B. \quad (62)$$

generate right, i.e., material, rotations of the  $L, R$ -rigid bodies. Namely, for any  $\omega^a{}_b$  satisfying

$$\omega^a{}_b = -\delta^{ac} \delta_{bd} \omega^d{}_c. \quad (63)$$



Home Page

Title Page

Contents



Page 10 of 28

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

the corresponding  $Z(\omega) \in \text{SO}(n, \mathbb{R})$  acts on the  $L, R$  dependence as follows:

$$F(LZ(\omega)) = \left( \exp \left( \frac{i}{2\hbar} \omega^a{}_b \boldsymbol{\varrho}^b{}_a \right) F \right) (L), \quad (64)$$

$$H(RZ(\omega)) = \left( \exp \left( -\frac{i}{2\hbar} \omega^a{}_b \boldsymbol{\tau}^b{}_a \right) H \right) (R). \quad (65)$$

Just like in classical theory one achieves a partial diagonalization of the kinetic energy in terms of operators

$$\mathbf{M}^a{}_b = -\boldsymbol{\varrho}^a{}_b - \boldsymbol{\tau}^a{}_b, \quad \mathbf{N}^a{}_b = \boldsymbol{\varrho}^a{}_b - \boldsymbol{\tau}^a{}_b. \quad (66)$$

In geodetic affinely-invariant models in two dimensions these quantities are constants of motion. For  $n > 2$  it is no longer the case, but the Casimir invariants built of  $\boldsymbol{\varrho}^a{}_b, \boldsymbol{\tau}^a{}_b$  are constants of motion. They are so even in non-geodetic case when with potential energy depends only on deformation invariants. Casimir operators are given by

$$C(k) = \Sigma^a{}_b \Sigma^b{}_c \dots \Sigma^r{}_s \Sigma^s{}_a = \widehat{\Sigma}^A{}_B \widehat{\Sigma}^B{}_C \dots \widehat{\Sigma}^R{}_S \widehat{\Sigma}^S{}_A. \quad (67)$$

In particular for  $k = 2$ :

$$C(2) = \Sigma^a{}_b \Sigma^b{}_a = \widehat{\Sigma}^A{}_B \widehat{\Sigma}^B{}_A. \quad (68)$$

For skew-symmetric tensor operators like  $\mathbf{S}^a{}_b, \mathbf{V}^a{}_b$  we change the normalization:

$$\|\mathbf{S}\|^2 = -\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{S}^a{}_b \mathbf{S}^b{}_a, \quad \|\mathbf{V}\|^2 = -\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{V}^A{}_B \mathbf{V}^B{}_A. \quad (69)$$



Home Page

Title Page

Contents



Page 11 of 28

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

In analogy to classical formulas one can show that

$$\mathbf{T}_{\text{int}}^{\text{aff}-\text{aff}} = \frac{1}{2A} \mathbf{C}(2) - \frac{B}{2A(A+nB)} \mathbf{p}^2, \quad (70)$$

$$\mathbf{T}_{\text{int}}^{\text{met}-\text{aff}} = \frac{1}{2\alpha} \mathbf{C}(2) + \frac{1}{2\beta} \mathbf{p}^2 + \frac{1}{2\mu} \|\mathbf{S}\|^2, \quad (71)$$

$$\mathbf{T}_{\text{int}}^{\text{aff}-\text{met}} = \frac{1}{2\alpha} \mathbf{C}(2) + \frac{1}{2\beta} \mathbf{p}^2 + \frac{1}{2\mu} \|\mathbf{V}\|^2 \quad (72)$$

with the same meaning of symbols as in the classical part:

$$\alpha = I + A, \quad \beta = -\frac{(I+A)(I+A+nB)}{B}, \quad \mu = \frac{I^2 - A^2}{I}. \quad (73)$$

In certain formulas it is convenient to separate the shear and dilatational phenomena:

$$\mathbf{T}_{\text{int}}^{\text{aff}-\text{aff}} = \frac{1}{2A} \mathbf{C}_{\text{SL}(n,\mathbb{R})}(2) + \frac{1}{2n(A+nB)} \mathbf{p}^2, \quad (74)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_{\text{int}}^{\text{met}-\text{aff}} &= \frac{1}{2(I+A)} \mathbf{C}_{\text{SL}(n,\mathbb{R})}(2) + \frac{1}{2n(I+A+nB)} \mathbf{p}^2 \\ &+ \frac{I}{2(I^2 - A^2)} \|\mathbf{S}\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_{\text{int}}^{\text{aff}-\text{met}} &= \frac{1}{2(I+A)} \mathbf{C}_{\text{SL}(n,\mathbb{R})}(2) + \frac{1}{2n(I+A+nB)} \mathbf{p}^2 \\ &+ \frac{I}{2(I^2 - A^2)} \|\mathbf{V}\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$



Home Page

Title Page

Contents



Page 12 of 28

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

The Peter-Weyl decomposition:

$$\Psi(\varphi) = \Psi(L, D, R) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \Omega} \sum_{m, n=1}^{N(\alpha)} \sum_{k, l=1}^{N(\beta)} D_{mn}^{\alpha}(L) f_{nk}^{\alpha\beta}(D) D_{kl}^{\beta}(R^{-1}). \quad (77)$$

Here  $\Omega$  is the set of equivalence classes of irreducible unitary representations of  $\text{SO}(n, \mathbb{R})$  and  $N(\alpha)$  is their dimension.

The two-polar decomposition is non-unique. Let  $W \in \text{SO}(n, \mathbb{R})$  has in every row and column exactly one  $\pm 1$  element and nulls besides. Then

$$LW D W^{-1} R^{-1} = L D_{\text{perm}} R^{-1}, \quad (78)$$

where  $D_{\text{perm}}$  is diagonal and differs from  $D$  by the permutation of diagonal elements. So, we must have:

$$f_{nk}^{\alpha\beta}(q^{\pi_W(1)}, \dots, q^n) = \sum_{r=1}^{N(\alpha)} \sum_{s=1}^{N(\beta)} D_{nr}^{\alpha}(W) f_{rs}^{\alpha\beta}(q^1, \dots, q^n) D_{sk}^{\beta}(W) \quad (79)$$

for any matrix  $W$  of the above form. The same is true on the subsets  $M^{(k; p_1, \dots, p_k)} \subset \text{SO}(n, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R} \times \text{SO}(n, \mathbb{R})$ , where there is a coincidence between some of  $(q^1, \dots, q^n)$ . Then  $W$  contains some continuous part. The special and simplest case is the total degeneracy when  $D = \lambda I_n$ . Then  $L, R$  separately are not determined and only  $LR^{-1}$  is well defined.

If  $\alpha, \beta, m, l$  are kept fixed, then we can omit the symbols  $m, l$  and just write:

$$\Psi(\varphi) = \Psi_{ml}^{\alpha\beta}(L, D, R) = \sum_{n=1}^{N(\alpha)} \sum_{k=1}^{N(\beta)} D_{mn}^{\alpha}(L) f_{nk}^{\alpha\beta}(D) D_{kl}^{\beta}(R^{-1}). \quad (80)$$



Home Page

Title Page

Contents



Page 13 of 28

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

$D^\alpha$  are  $N(\alpha) \times N(\alpha)$  quadratic matrices and  $f^{\alpha\beta}$  are  $N(\alpha) \times N(\beta)$  matrices depending on deformation invariants  $D(q)$ .

Let us fix our attention on the physical case  $n = 3$ . Then  $\omega^a_b$  is expressed by the rotation vector  $\bar{k}$  — canonical coordinates of the first kind:

$$\omega^a_b = -\varepsilon^a_{bc} k^c, \quad k^a = -\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^a_b{}^c \omega^b_c, \quad (81)$$

$$k \in [0, \pi] \quad - \quad \text{SO}(3, \mathbb{R}), \quad k \in [0, 2\pi] \quad - \quad \text{SU}(2) = \overline{\text{SO}(3, \mathbb{R})}. \quad (82)$$

$\bar{n} = \bar{k}/k$  — rotation axis.

$$W(\bar{k}) = \exp(k^a E_a) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} (k^a E_a)^m, \quad (E_a)^b_c = -\varepsilon_a{}^b{}_c, \quad (83)$$

or explicitly:

$$W(\bar{k})\bar{u} = \bar{u} + \bar{k} \times \bar{u} + \frac{1}{2} \bar{k} \times (\bar{k} \times \bar{u}) + \dots, \quad (84)$$

$$W(\bar{k})^a_b = \cos k \delta^a_b + (1 - \cos k) \frac{k^a k_b}{k^2} + \sin k \varepsilon^a_{bc} \frac{k^c}{k}. \quad (85)$$

Generators of the left and right translations are given by:

$$\mathbf{L}_a = \frac{k_a}{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial k} - \frac{1}{2} \text{ctg} \frac{k}{2} \varepsilon_{ab}{}^c k^b \mathbf{D}_c + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{D}_a, \quad (86)$$

$$\mathbf{R}_a = \frac{k_a}{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial k} - \frac{1}{2} \text{ctg} \frac{k}{2} \varepsilon_{ab}{}^c k^b \mathbf{D}_c - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{D}_a, \quad (87)$$



Home Page

Title Page

Contents



Page 14 of 28

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

where  $\mathbf{D}$  are generators of inner automorphisms:

$$\mathbf{D}_a = \mathbf{L}_a - \mathbf{R}_a = \varepsilon_{ab}{}^c k^b \frac{\partial}{\partial k^c}. \quad (88)$$

The following holds:

$$W(\pi\bar{n}) = W(-\pi\bar{n}) = W(\pi\bar{n})^{-1}, \quad (89)$$

so, for any  $\bar{n}$   $W(\pi\bar{n})$  are square roots of identity.

The covering group  $\text{Spin}(3) \simeq \text{SU}(2)$  is parameterized by  $\bar{k}$  with  $k \in [0, 2\pi]$ ,

$$u(\bar{k}) = \exp(k^a e_a) = \cos \frac{k}{2} I_2 - \frac{k^a}{k} \sin \frac{k}{2} i\sigma_a, \quad (90)$$

$e_a = \frac{1}{2i}\sigma_a$  — generators of  $\text{SU}(2)$ .

Now  $u(\pi\bar{n}) \neq u(-\pi\bar{n})$ , but  $u(2\pi\bar{n}) = -u(\bar{n})$ .

Casimir invariants are given by:

$$\mathbf{C}_{\text{SO}(V,g)}(2) = \mathbf{S}_1^2 + \mathbf{S}_2^2 + \mathbf{S}_3^2, \quad \mathbf{C}_{\text{SO}(U,\eta)}(2) = \mathbf{V}_1^2 + \mathbf{V}_2^2 + \mathbf{V}_3^2. \quad (91)$$

For  $n = 3$  the family of Casimirs begins and terminates at  $p = 2$ . The Haar measure is proportional to

$$d\mu(\bar{k}) = \frac{4}{k^2} \sin^2 \frac{k}{2} d_3\bar{k} = 4 \sin^2 \frac{k}{2} \sin \vartheta dk d\vartheta d\varphi \quad (92)$$

for both  $\text{SO}(3, \mathbb{R})$  and  $\text{SU}(2)$ . But if we wish to normalize the measure to unity, then some normalization constant must appear. Otherwise  $\text{SU}(2)$  has the twice larger volume than  $\text{SO}(3, \mathbb{R})$ , what is, by the way, relatively sensible.



Home Page

Title Page

Contents



Page 15 of 28

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

The Peter-Weyl theorem becomes then:

$$\Psi(\varphi) = \Psi(L, D, R) = \sum_{s,j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m,n=-s}^s \sum_{k,l=-j}^j D_{mn}^s(L) f_{nk}^{sj}(D) D_{kl}^j(R^{-1}) \quad (93)$$

or with fixed values of  $m, l, s, j$ :

$$\Psi_{ml}^{sj}(L, D, R) = \sum_{n=-s}^s \sum_{k=-j}^j D_{mn}^s(L) f_{nk}^{sj}(D) D_{kl}^j(R^{-1}). \quad (94)$$

They satisfy eigenequations of rotational Casimirs:

$$\|\mathbf{S}^2\| \Psi_{ml}^{sj} = \hbar^2 s(s+1) \Psi_{ml}^{sj}, \quad \|\mathbf{V}^2\| \Psi_{ml}^{sj} = \hbar^2 j(j+1) \Psi_{ml}^{sj}. \quad (95)$$

And traditionally one uses eigenstates of  $\|\mathbf{S}^2\|, \|\mathbf{V}^2\|$ :

$$\mathbf{S}_3 \Psi_{ml}^{sj} = \hbar m \Psi_{ml}^{sj}, \quad \mathbf{V}_3 \Psi_{ml}^{sj} = \hbar l \Psi_{ml}^{sj}. \quad (96)$$

Similarly for  $\varrho_3, \tau_3$ :

$$\varrho_3 \Psi_{ml}^{sj} = \hbar n \Psi_{ml}^{sj}, \quad \tau_3 \Psi_{ml}^{sj} = \hbar k \Psi_{ml}^{sj}. \quad (97)$$

On  $GL^+(3, \mathbb{R})$   $s, j$  are non-negative integers and  $m, l, n, k$  jump by one from  $-s, -j$  to  $s, j$ . But something similar may be done on  $GL^+(3, \mathbb{R})$ . One begins with  $SU(2) \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times SU(2)$  — the analog of the two-polar representation,

$$\Psi(u, q, v) = \sum_{s,j \in \mathbb{N}/2 \cup \{0\}} \sum_{m,n=-s}^s \sum_{k,l=-j}^j D_{mn}^s(u) f_{nk}^{sj}(q) D_{kl}^j(v^{-1}), \quad (98)$$



Home Page

Title Page

Contents

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Page 16 of 28

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



where with fixed  $s, j$  other quantum numbers jump by one under the summation sign. But the summation must be restricted only to two disjoint subspaces: one with both  $s, j$  half-integer and the other one with integers.

In any case this must be so if  $\bar{\psi}\psi$  is to be projectable onto  $GL^+(3, \mathbb{R})$  (incidentally, it is not quite clear if it must be so).

Let us quote the explicit expressions for the highly (affinely) invariant kinetic energy operators. For models of internal kinetic energy left- and right-affinely invariant we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_{\text{int}}^{\text{aff-aff}} &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2A} \mathbf{D}_\lambda + \frac{\hbar^2 B}{2A(A+nB)} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q^2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{32A} \sum_{a,b} \frac{(\mathbf{M}^a_b)^2}{\text{sh}^2 \frac{q^a - q^b}{2}} - \frac{1}{32A} \sum_{a,b} \frac{(\mathbf{N}^a_b)^2}{\text{ch}^2 \frac{q^a - q^b}{2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (99)$$

where, however, something classically unexpected appears:

$$\mathbf{D}_\lambda = \frac{1}{P_\lambda} \sum_a \frac{\partial}{\partial q^a} P_\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial q^a} = \sum_a \frac{\partial^2}{\partial (q^a)^2} + \sum_a \frac{\partial \ln P_\lambda}{\partial q^a} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^a}. \quad (100)$$

The “naively” expected term  $\sum_a \partial^2 / \partial (q^a)^2$  appears when we substitute:

$$\varphi = \sqrt{P_\lambda} \Psi. \quad (101)$$



[Home Page](#)

[Title Page](#)

[Contents](#)



Page 17 of 28

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)

But this is for the price of additional “bad” potential  $\tilde{\mathbf{V}}$ :

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2A}\tilde{D} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2A}\sum_a \frac{\partial^2}{\partial(q^a)^2} + \tilde{\mathbf{V}}, \quad (102)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{V}} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2A} \frac{1}{P_\lambda^2} + \frac{\hbar^2}{4A} \frac{1}{P_\lambda} \sum_a \left( \frac{\partial P_\lambda}{\partial q^a} \right)^2. \quad (103)$$

For the internal models right-affinely, left-metrically invariant, and conversely, left-affinely, right-metrically invariant we have respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_{\text{int}}^{\text{met-aff}} &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2\lambda} \mathbf{D}_\lambda - \frac{\hbar^2}{2\beta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q^2} + \frac{1}{32\alpha} \sum_{a,b} \frac{(\mathbf{M}^a_b)^2}{\text{sh}^2 \frac{q^a - q^b}{2}} + \\ &- \frac{1}{32\alpha} \sum_{a,b} \frac{(\mathbf{N}^a_b)^2}{\text{ch}^2 \frac{q^a - q^b}{2}} + \frac{1}{2\mu} \|\mathbf{S}\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (104)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_{\text{int}}^{\text{aff-met}} &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2\lambda} \mathbf{D}_\lambda - \frac{\hbar^2}{2\beta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q^2} + \frac{1}{32\alpha} \sum_{a,b} \frac{(\mathbf{M}^a_b)^2}{\text{sh}^2 \frac{q^a - q^b}{2}} + \\ &- \frac{1}{32\alpha} \sum_{a,b} \frac{(\mathbf{N}^a_b)^2}{\text{ch}^2 \frac{q^a - q^b}{2}} + \frac{1}{2\mu} \|\mathbf{V}\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (105)$$

where  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\mu$  are given by the previous formulas.

For the doubly isotropic d'Alembert model with the scalar inertia  $I$  we obtain:

$$\mathbf{T}_{\text{int}}^{\text{d.A.}} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2I} \mathbf{D}_I + \frac{1}{8I} \sum_{a,b} \frac{(\mathbf{M}^a_b)^2}{(Q^a - Q^b)^2} + \frac{1}{8I} \sum_{a,b} \frac{(\mathbf{N}^a_b)^2}{(Q^a + Q^b)^2}, \quad (106)$$



Home Page

Title Page

Contents



Page 18 of 28

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

with  $\mathbf{D}_l$  given by

$$\mathbf{D}_l = \frac{1}{P_l} \sum_a \frac{\partial}{\partial Q^a} P_l \frac{\partial}{\partial Q^a} = \sum_a \frac{\partial^2}{\partial (Q^a)^2} + \sum_a \frac{\partial \ln P_l}{\partial Q^a} \frac{\partial}{\partial Q^a}. \quad (107)$$

Then again the substitution

$$\varphi = \sqrt{P_l} \Psi, \quad (108)$$

eliminates the first-order derivatives but introduces a hardly treatable potential:

$$\tilde{V}_l = -\frac{\hbar}{2I} \frac{1}{P_l^2} + \frac{\hbar^2}{4I} \frac{1}{P_l} \sum_a \left( \frac{\partial P_l}{\partial Q^a} \right)^2. \quad (109)$$

Although the kinetic energy operator may be in the d'Alembert case expressed by the usual Laplace operator,

$$\mathbf{T}^{\text{d.A.}} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2I} \Delta^{n^2} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2I} \sum_{i,A} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial (\varphi^i_A)^2}, \quad (110)$$

this is useless because the geodetic models predict infinite motion, and to be physically admissible, they must be modified by the potential term  $V(Q^1, \dots, Q^n)$ . And then only curvilinear coordinates, e.g., polar or two-polar ones are useful and everything goes back to the previous treatment.

Similarly, for affinely-invariant models one can modify  $\mathbf{T}^{\text{aff-aff}}$ ,  $\mathbf{T}^{\text{met-aff}}$ ,  $\mathbf{T}^{\text{aff-met}}$  by the doubly isotropic potential correction  $\mathbf{V}(q^1, \dots, q^n)$ .

The matrix generators of  $D^\alpha$  will be denoted by  $M^\alpha$ , so that for

$$W(\omega) = \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \omega^a_b E^b_a \right) \quad (111)$$



Home Page

Title Page

Contents



Page 19 of 28

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

we have

$$D^\alpha(\omega) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\omega^a{}_b M^{ab}{}_a\right). \quad (112)$$

If  $n = 3$ , then:

$$D^j(\omega) = \exp(\omega^a M^j{}_a). \quad (113)$$

Obviously then

$$[M^j{}_a, M^j{}_b] = -\varepsilon_{ab}{}^c M^j{}_c. \quad (114)$$

Let us introduce hermitian matrices of angular momenta:

$$S^{aa}{}_b = \frac{\hbar}{i} M^{aa}{}_b, \quad S^j{}_a = \frac{\hbar}{i} M^j{}_a. \quad (115)$$

Their Poisson brackets have the form:

$$\frac{1}{i\hbar} [S^j{}_a, S^j{}_b] = \varepsilon_{ab}{}^c S^j{}_c. \quad (116)$$

The advantage of their use is that differential operators  $\rho^a{}_b$ ,  $\tau^a{}_b$ ,  $\mathbf{M}^a{}_b$ ,  $\mathbf{N}^a{}_b$  are algebraized. Let us introduce the symbols:

$$\overrightarrow{S}^{\alpha a}{}_b f^{\alpha\beta} := S^{\alpha a}{}_b f^{\alpha\beta}, \quad \overleftarrow{S}^{\beta a}{}_b f^{\alpha\beta} := f^{\alpha\beta} S^{\beta a}{}_b. \quad (117)$$

The affinely-invariant and even rotationally-invariant Schrödinger equation

$$\mathbf{H}\Psi = E\Psi \quad (118)$$

splits then into family of equations:

$$H^{\alpha\beta} f^{\alpha\beta} = E^{\alpha\beta} f^{\alpha\beta} \quad (119)$$



Home Page

Title Page

Contents



Page 20 of 28

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

where for any  $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega$ ,  $f^{\alpha\beta}$  is again the  $N(\alpha) \times N(\beta)$  matrix depending on  $q^a$ . The problem is  $N(\alpha) \times N(\beta)$ -fold degenerate.

$\mathbf{H}^{\alpha\beta}$  is an  $N(\alpha) \times N(\beta)$  matrix the elements of which are differential operators

$$\mathbf{H}^{\alpha\beta} = \mathbf{T}^{\alpha\beta} + \mathbf{V}. \quad (120)$$

$D^\alpha$  are irreducible, therefore the Casimir matrices:

$$C^\alpha(p)_z^a := \underbrace{S^{\alpha a}_b S^{\alpha b}_c \dots S^{\alpha u}_w S^{\alpha w}_z}_{p \text{ factors}}. \quad (121)$$

reduce to ones proportional to  $I_{N(\alpha)}$ :

$$C^\alpha(p) = \left(\frac{\hbar}{i}\right)^p C(\alpha, p) I_{N(\alpha)}. \quad (122)$$

One can show that the Schrödinger equations reduce to the above family with the following quantum



Home Page

Title Page

Contents



Page 21 of 28

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

counterparts of the classical kinetic energy:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}^{\alpha\beta} f^{\alpha\beta} &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2A} \mathbf{D}_\lambda f^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{32A} \sum_{a,b} \frac{\left(\overleftarrow{S}^{\beta a}_b - \overrightarrow{S}^{\alpha a}_b\right)^2}{\text{sh}^2 \frac{q^a - q^b}{2}} f^{\alpha\beta} \\ &- \frac{1}{32A} \sum_{a,b} \frac{\left(\overleftarrow{S}^{\beta a}_b + \overrightarrow{S}^{\alpha a}_b\right)^2}{\text{ch}^2 \frac{q^a - q^b}{2}} f^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{\hbar^2 B}{2A(A + nB)} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q^2} f^{\alpha\beta}, \end{aligned} \quad (123)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}^{\alpha\beta} f^{\alpha\beta} &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2\alpha} \mathbf{D}_\lambda f^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{32\alpha} \sum_{a,b} \frac{\left(\overleftarrow{S}^{\beta a}_b - \overrightarrow{S}^{\alpha a}_b\right)^2}{\text{sh}^2 \frac{q^a - q^b}{2}} f^{\alpha\beta} \\ &- \frac{1}{32\alpha} \sum_{a,b} \frac{\left(\overleftarrow{S}^{\beta a}_b + \overrightarrow{S}^{\alpha a}_b\right)^2}{\text{ch}^2 \frac{q^a - q^b}{2}} f^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{\hbar^2}{2\beta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q^2} f^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} C(\alpha, 2) f^{\alpha\beta}, \end{aligned} \quad (124)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}^{\alpha\beta} f^{\alpha\beta} &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2\alpha} \mathbf{D}_\lambda f^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{32\alpha} \sum_{a,b} \frac{\left(\overleftarrow{S}^{\beta a}_b - \overrightarrow{S}^{\alpha a}_b\right)^2}{\text{sh}^2 \frac{q^a - q^b}{2}} f^{\alpha\beta} \\ &- \frac{1}{32\alpha} \sum_{a,b} \frac{\left(\overleftarrow{S}^{\beta a}_b + \overrightarrow{S}^{\alpha a}_b\right)^2}{\text{ch}^2 \frac{q^a - q^b}{2}} f^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{\hbar^2}{2\beta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q^2} f^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} C(\beta, 2) f^{\alpha\beta}. \end{aligned} \quad (125)$$

One must not confuse the representation labels  $\alpha, \beta$  with the inverses of the multiplicative constants. We apologise for this inconvenience. It is seen that there is no very essential difference between those three expressions; only one in multiplicative constants and with the use of spin and vorticity Casimirs. Those



Home Page

Title Page

Contents



Page 22 of 28

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



formulas are valid for any spatial dimension  $n$ . In the directly physical case  $n = 3$  we have obviously  $\alpha = s = 0, 1/2, 1, \dots \in \mathbb{N}/2 \cup \{0\}$ ,  $\beta = j = 0, 1/2, 1, \dots \in \mathbb{N}/2 \cup \{0\}$  when we admit half-integer values of angular momenta and vorticity. If we admit only integer values, then obviously  $s, j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Obviously, in three dimensions we have  $C(2, 2) = s(s + 1)$ ,  $C(j) = j(j + 1)$ . Then the constant terms in the formulas (124), (125) are simply given by  $\hbar^2 s(s + 1)/2\mu$ ,  $\hbar^2 j(j + 1)/2\mu$ . Those corrections to the affine-affine model are very interesting and have the structure interesting for any physicist. The term  $\hbar^2 s(s + 1)/2\mu$  is interesting as the rotational connection to the situation when the purely deformative part is established and later on excited to quicker rotations. From this point of view the correction term  $\hbar^2 j(j + 1)/2\mu$  in (125) is perhaps even more interesting because it may be interpreted as a kind of internal quantum term following from the  $SO(3, \mathbb{R})$ -group or its covering  $SU(2)$ . This might be something like the isospin. To combine them, i.e., to obtain some combination of terms  $\hbar^2 s(s + 1)/2\mu$ ,  $\hbar^2 j(j + 1)/2\mu$ , we should modify more deeply the primary affine-affine model, e.g., to use the quantization of kinetic energies like (290), (291) from the classical part of this text.

Let us observe that the use of the two-polar description together with the Weyl-Peter theorem enables one to simplify the expression for the scalar product, reducing it to the integration over the  $q^i$ -variables and the series summation over discrete variables. Namely, if we take two wave functions  $\Psi_1, \Psi_2$  with the deformation profiles  $f_1, f_2$ , then one can easily show that:

$$\langle \Psi_1 | \Psi_2 \rangle = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \Omega} \frac{1}{N(\alpha)N(\beta)} \int \sum_{n, m=1}^{N(\alpha)} \sum_{k, l=1}^{N(\beta)} f_{1nk}^{-\alpha\beta} f_{2nk}^{\alpha\beta} P_{\lambda} dq^1 \dots dq^n. \quad (126)$$

When we restrict ourselves to the subspace of wave functions with fixed labels  $\alpha, \beta, m, l$  and use the simplified  $N(\alpha) \times N(\beta)$ -matrix amplitudes

$$\Psi^{\alpha\beta} (L; q^1, \dots, q^n; R) = D^{\alpha}(l) f^{\alpha\beta} (q^1, \dots, q^n) D^{\beta}(R^{-1}), \quad (127)$$

[Home Page](#)[Title Page](#)[Contents](#)[Page 23 of 28](#)[Go Back](#)[Full Screen](#)[Close](#)[Quit](#)

this scalar product may be reduced to:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Psi_1^{\alpha\beta} | \Psi_2^{\alpha\beta} \rangle &= \frac{1}{N(\alpha)N(\beta)} \int \text{Tr} \left( f_1^{\alpha\beta+} (q^1, \dots, q^n) f_2^{\alpha\beta} (q^1, \dots, q^n) \right) \\ &\cdot P_\lambda (q^1, \dots, q^n) dq^1 \dots dq^n. \end{aligned} \quad (128)$$

For the general case (127) may be written as:

$$\langle \Psi_1 | \Psi_2 \rangle = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \Omega} \frac{1}{N(\alpha)N(\beta)} \int \text{Tr} \left( f_1^{\alpha\beta+} f_2^{\alpha\beta} \right) P_\lambda dq^1 \dots dq^n. \quad (129)$$

where, obviously

$$\text{Tr} \left( f_1^{\alpha\beta+} f_2^{\alpha\beta} \right) = \sum_{n,m=1}^{N(\alpha)} \sum_{k,l=1}^{N(\beta)} \bar{f}_{1nk}^{\alpha\beta} f_{2nk}^{\alpha\beta}. \quad (130)$$

The weight factor  $P_\lambda$  may be eliminated from (129) by (108).

Let us mention again the usual d'Alembert models. Now for the isotropic inertial tensor and for the doubly isotropic potential energy we can also write that the Schrödinger equation

$$\mathbf{H}\Psi = E\Psi \quad (131)$$

for the isotropic potentials reduces to the family:

$$\mathbf{H}^{\alpha\beta} f^{\alpha\beta} = E^{\alpha\beta} f^{\alpha\beta}, \quad (132)$$



[Home Page](#)

[Title Page](#)

[Contents](#)



Page 24 of 28

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)



where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}^{\alpha\beta} f^{\alpha\beta} &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2I} \mathbf{D}_I f^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{8I} \sum_{a,b} \frac{\left( \overleftarrow{S}^{\beta a}_b - \overrightarrow{S}^{\alpha a}_b \right)}{(Q^a - Q^b)^2} f^{\alpha\beta} \\ &+ \frac{1}{8I} \sum_{a,b} \frac{\left( \overleftarrow{S}^{\beta a}_b + \overrightarrow{S}^{\alpha a}_b \right)^2}{(Q^a + Q^b)^2} f^{\alpha\beta} + V(Q^1, \dots, Q^n) f^{\alpha\beta}. \end{aligned} \quad (133)$$

It is clear that without the potential term, i.e., when dealing with the geodetic model, all motions are infinite and there are no elastic vibrations, just like in the corresponding classical theory.

We have seen that in classical mechanics the geodetic affinely-invariant models on  $\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$  may describe elastic vibrations. Moreover, there exists a sharp threshold between finite vibrations and infinite escaping motions. It is given by some relationship between spin and vorticity. In  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$  the same qualitative picture may be obtained by introducing some stabilizing dilatational potential. By analogy something similar exists in quantum theory. Let us consider this again in the special, particularly simple model in  $n = 2$ . The Haar measure on  $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  may be expressed as:

$$d\lambda(\alpha; q^1, q^2; \beta) = |\text{sh}(q^1 - q^2)| d\alpha d\beta dq^1 dq^2, \quad (134)$$

where, as usual  $q^1, q^2$  are logarithmic deformation invariants and  $\alpha, \beta$  are polar angles parametrizing respectively  $L$  and  $R$  in the two-polar decomposition. As usual we introduce new variables:

$$q = \frac{1}{2}(q^1 + q^2), \quad x = q^2 - q^1. \quad (135)$$

In certain problems it is also convenient to introduce the mixed angular variables:

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{2}(\beta - \alpha), \quad \delta = \frac{1}{2}(\beta + \alpha). \quad (136)$$



Home Page

Title Page

Contents



Page 25 of 28

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

Therefore,

$$d\lambda(\alpha; q, x; \beta) = |\operatorname{sh} x| d\alpha d\beta dq dx, \quad P_\lambda = |\operatorname{sh} x|. \quad (137)$$

According to the Peter-Weyl theorem, or more directly, to the Fourier theorem, we have the following expansion for our wave functions on  $GL(2, \mathbb{R})$ :

$$\Psi(\alpha; q, x; \beta) = \sum_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}} f^{mn}(q, x) e^{im\alpha} e^{in\beta}. \quad (138)$$

For the model  $T_{\text{int}}^{\text{aff}-\text{aff}}$  we have the following reduced expression for the kinetic energy  $\mathbf{T}^{mn}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}^{mn} f^{mn} &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{A} \mathbf{D}_x f^{mn} - \frac{\hbar^2}{4(A+2B)} \frac{\partial^2 f^{mn}}{\partial q^2} \\ &+ \frac{\hbar^2(n-m)^2}{16A^2 \operatorname{sh}^2 \frac{x}{2}} f^{mn} - \frac{\hbar^2(n+m)^2}{16A^2 \operatorname{ch}^2 \frac{x}{2}} f^{mn}. \end{aligned} \quad (139)$$

For the metric-affine and affine-metric models  $T_{\text{int}}^{\text{met}-\text{aff}}$ ,  $T_{\text{int}}^{\text{aff}-\text{met}}$  we obtain respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}^{mn} f^{mn} &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{I+A} \mathbf{D}_x f^{mn} - \frac{\hbar^2}{4(I+A+2B)} \frac{\partial^2 f^{mn}}{\partial q^2} \\ &+ \frac{\hbar^2(n-m)^2}{16(I+A) \operatorname{sh}^2 \frac{x}{2}} f^{mn} - \frac{\hbar^2(n+m)^2}{16(I+A) \operatorname{ch}^2 \frac{x}{2}} f^{mn} + \frac{I\hbar^2 m^2}{I^2 - A^2} f^{mn}, \end{aligned} \quad (140)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}^{mn} f^{mn} &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{I+A} \mathbf{D}_x f^{mn} - \frac{\hbar^2}{4(I+A+2B)} \frac{\partial^2 f^{mn}}{\partial q^2} \\ &+ \frac{\hbar^2(n-m)^2}{16(I+A) \operatorname{sh}^2 \frac{x}{2}} f^{mn} - \frac{\hbar^2(n+m)^2}{16(I+A) \operatorname{ch}^2 \frac{x}{2}} f^{mn} + \frac{I\hbar^2 n^2}{I^2 - A^2} f^{mn}, \end{aligned} \quad (141)$$



Home Page

Title Page

Contents



Page 26 of 28

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

where

$$\mathbf{D}_x f^{mn} = \frac{1}{|\text{sh } x|} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( |\text{sh } x| \frac{\partial f^{mn}}{\partial x} \right). \quad (142)$$

Of course, for the purely geodetic models on  $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  the spectrum is continuous, because dilatational motion is free. To avoid this fact we must introduce to the Hamiltonian some dilatation-stabilizing potential  $V_{\text{dil}}(q)$ . This may be either the potential well or some harmonic oscillator with large elastic constant. Of course, the problem is also explicitly separable for any potential of the form:

$$V(q, x) = V_{\text{dil}}(q) + V_{\text{sh}}(x). \quad (143)$$

The corresponding solutions of the time-independent Schrödinger equation will be sought in the product form:

$$f^{mn}(q, x) = \varphi^{mn}(q) \chi^{mn}(x). \quad (144)$$

It is interesting that there exists a discrete spectrum for  $\chi$ -terms in  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  even in the purely geodetic models without any shear potential  $V_{\text{sh}}(x)$ . This depends on the mutual relationship between “gyroscopic” quantum numbers  $m, n$ . If  $|n - m| < |n + m|$ , then the attractive  $\text{ch}^{-2}$ -term becomes dominant at large distances, when  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ , and the spectrum for  $\chi$  is then discrete. Conversely, it becomes continuous when  $|n - m| > |n + m|$ . For the affine-affine model (139) the spectrum is not bounded from below. Conversely, for the affine-metric and metric-affine models the kinetic energy may be bounded from below and so is the spectrum. This happens for certain open range of parameters  $I, A, B$ .

Similar phenomena hold for the dimension of space greater than 2, because everything follows from the commutation rules (structure constants) of  $\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ .



Home Page

Title Page

Contents



Page 27 of 28

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

\*\*\*

Thank you for your attention!

\*\*\*



[Home Page](#)

[Title Page](#)

[Contents](#)



Page 28 of 28

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)